

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/645,880	Applicant(s) YOKOI ET AL.	
	Examiner Denise Tran	Art Unit 2186	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Denise Tran.

(3) _____.

 (2) H. J. Staas (Reg. No. 22,010).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 November 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative, Mr. Staas agreed to replace claim 1, line 9, "the system module" with -the one of the system modules--; and claim 11, line 12, "said" with -said at least one-and "the data" with --data--; lines 17 and 19, "the" with --said at least one-, in order to clarify the claimed language..